

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/635,692 Soichi Shibata 10059-472US 08/06/2003 8369 (P31757-01) **EXAMINER** 09/29/2004 570 7590 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. **AUSTIN, MELISSA J** ONE COMMERCE SQUARE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013 1745

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/635,692		SHIBATA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Melissa Austin		1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4, 6-8</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers			•	•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Driority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
and attached actailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [	Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e´.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pat Other:	ent Application (PTC	)-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ッレ	outot		
	ion Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20040901

Application/Control Number: 10/635,692

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 61, 62A, 62B, 63A, 63B, 64A, 64B. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1745

## Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the maximum word count. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hatoh et al. (US 2003/0211376). Hatoh teaches a polymer electrolyte fuel cell, as shown in Figure 7, with a polymer electrolyte positioned between two electrodes (applicant's anode and cathode), each having a catalyst layer and a gas diffusion layer (all together the membrane electrode assembly, MEA). A separator plate with gas flow channel is placed on each side of the MEA. The gas diffusion layers (gdl) of the electrodes come into direct contact with the separator plates (applicant's first section) and gas flow channels (applicant's second section), and the section of the gdl facing the gas flow channel protrudes into the flow channel. (pg. 2, [0017]) Inherently, the sections of the gdl under the separator plate have a lower porosity than the sections protruding into the gas flow channel, just as any porous material under compression has lower porosity than when unbound.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,692 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

8. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a

translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP

§ 201.15.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hatoh et al. (US

2003/0211376). Hatoh teaches the elements of claim 1 as described above. Examiner takes official notice

that a person of ordinary skill in the art and knowledgeable in design would arrive at the appropriate

dimensions of the gas flow channels and ribs that eliminate back pressure in the channels as a mere

design consideration.

10. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a

translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP

§ 201.15.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art fails

to teach the ratio of porosities of the section of the gas diffusion layer and the amount of protrusion of the

gas diffusion layer into the gas flow channel.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ohara et al. (US 2003/0003345) teach the rib width and channel width and depth.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Austin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:15 AM - 3:45 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) Rather Augen SBE-AU1745 at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mja

Melissa Austin Patent Examiner Art Unit 1745